

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/766,503		FISCHER, DAN E.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH		1657	

All Participants:

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH.

(2) John M. Guynn (attorney of record).

Date of Interview: 30 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

of the record, especially claims 14 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After FAOM

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:15 AM

/Satyendra K. Singh/
Examiner, Art Unit 1657

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. John M. Guynn (phone Nos.- 801-321-8984; 801-539-9800; 801-201-5431) was telephonically contacted by the examiner, and presented with (by facsimile) a proposed Examiner's Amendment for the pending claims that have been found to be allowable (through an in house patentability conference with SPE, Michael Wityshyn and Primary Examiner, Sandy Saucier) for applicant's consideration. Mr. Guynn called the Examiner on January 30th 2009, discussed all the proposed amendments to the pending claims, and thereafter, informed the Examiner that applicant has agreed to the proposed amendments.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
Examiner, AU 1657